IoT Common Security Requirements Guidelines 2019: CCDS-GR01-2019 Ver. 2.0

General Incorporated Association
Connected Consumer Device Security Council

February 26, 2020

Update History

Revision	Date of Update	Description of Update	Formulated by
Draft	2018/11/26	New Release	CCDS
Draft2	2019/3/8	Correction of points in the Common Requirements Review WG	CCDS
Rev. 1.0	2019/4/11	Rev. 1.0 release	CCDS
Rev. 2.0	2020/2/26	Rev. 2.0 release	CCDS

1. Purpose of This Document

This Guidelines defines a minimum set of requirements (action level: ★) to be fulfilled by connected devices. These minimum requirements are to apply to IoT device and system implementations of connected devices.

2. Scope of Granting of the CCDS Certification Mark

The scope of granting of the CCDS Certification Mark encompasses those device and system implementations of Internet Protocol-ready hardware and software interfaces.

3. Common Requirements

The table below summarizes the common individual requirements.

No.	Target Level	Certification Requirement	Kind of Vulnerability	Explanation (Background of the threat and example)
1	★ (Common)	There must not be Web input-based SQL injection defects.	CWE-89: SQL injection	[Background of the threat] An inadequately invalidated SQL syntax contained in user input could override security checks or allow statements to be inserted, a backend database to be tampered or system commands to be executed. (CWE-TOP6) [Examples] ・Wi-Fi wireless router, (CVE-2015-6319) [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 13. Validate input data
2	★ (Common)	There must not be Web input-based cross-site request forgery defects.	CWE-352: Cross-site request forgeries	[Background of the threat] A vulnerability that arises as a result of failure to verify that user requests are properly formatted. Attackers could fool clients, causing them to transmit unintended requests to a Web server. (CWE-TOP7)

				[Examples] ・Wi-Fi wireless router (CVE-2014-7270) [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 13. Validate input data
3	★ (Common)	There must not be Web input-based path traversal defects.	CWE-22: Path traversal	[Background of the threat] The vulnerability of allowing access to a restricted directory by creating a pathname from external input. (CWE-TOP11) [Examples] ・IP camera (CVE-2017-7461) [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 13. Validate input data
4	★ (Common)	TCP/UDP ports out of service must not be left open for use from outside.	CWE-671: Lack of administrator control over security (unnecessary TCP/UDP ports left open)	[Background of the threat] If TCP/UDP ports that are not needed for functional or service purposes are left open, they could open a way communication that might be abused by cyber attackers. [Examples] ・Wi-Fi wireless routers, IP cameras and more [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 6. Minimize exposed attack surfaces
5	★ (Common)	TCP / UDP ports required for system operation must be managed by an appropriate	CWE-287: Inappropriate certification practices (inappropriate access	[Background of the threat] Appropriate access control is not implemented for the open TCP / UDP port, threatening problems such as information leaks from the data stored in the devices or privilege elevation (seizure

		access authentication method (unique ID and password for each device, or managed ID and password that should not be disclosed to the outside).	management of TCP/UDP ports)	of control over the management functions) can occur. [Examples] ・Wi-Fi wireless routers, IP cameras and more [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 6. Minimize exposed attack surfaces
6	★ (Common)	・Certification information must be capable of being re-edited ・When using for the first time, it has a function to prompt to change the settings. ・The ID and password should not be hard-coded (the initial password can be the same).	CWE-259: Problems associated with a hard-coded password (such as an inappropriately implemented or hard-coded access code or unmodifiable access code).	[Background of the threat] If certification information used to access a device or application, such as ID or password information, is endangered when it is hard-coded or the implementation prohibits its modification, there would be no way responding to it, leading to vulnerabilities. [Examples] ・Medical institution systems [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in “Certification of Compliance of Devices with the Relevant Security Standards” ・Requirements defined in the “California State Laws” ・Requirements defined in “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 1. No Default Password (Certification information must be set before any default password can be used.)
7	★ (Common)	・Functions must be in place that permit uses to	Inadequate implementation of functions	[Background of the threat] If a function that permits deleting security settings, confidential

		easily delete information defined or collected by them while using a device. ・Updated system software must be capable of being maintained even after such information has been deleted.	allowing for scrapping or reuse. ・No applicable CWE	information, privacy information and other information retained by devices or applications is not implemented, such information could leak out upon scrapping or reuse. [Examples] ・PCs, USB memory smartphones [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in the “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 8. Ensure that personal data is protected 11. Make it easy for consumers to delete personal data
8	★ (Common)	The latest scheme of certification recommended by the Wi-Fi Alliance must be supported.	CWE-326: Problems of the absence of an encryption scheme having a strength (latest Wi-Fi communication encryption function not implemented).	[Background of the threat] The scheme of communication encryption used in the Wi-Fi devices is not the latest one but it employs vulnerable encryption protocol or encryption algorithm. [Examples] ・Wi-Fi wireless router [Remarks] ・Requirements covered din the “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 5. Communicate securely
9	★ (Common)	The latest pairing scheme recommended by the Bluetooth SIG must be supported.	CWE-287: Inappropriate authentication (Bluetooth pairing function not implemented).	[Background of the threat] Specifications earlier than Bluetooth 2.0+EDR would require the devices to be paired with each other to enter a numeric sequence, called a “PIN code.” Typically, implementations involving the entry of a four-digit, such as 0000 are so common that they could be attacked by entering pre-planned sequences, compromising security easily. [Examples]

				・Devices adhering to specifications earlier than s Bluetooth 2.0+EDR [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in the “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 5. Communicate securely
10	★ (Common)	Unnecessary device classes must be made non-recognizable for system operation purposes.	Use of device classes that do no require USB ・No applicable CWE	[Background of the threat] The implementation of unnecessary device classes could open a way for attacks being launched via malware, for example. [Examples] ・USB-mounted devices in general [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 6. Minimize exposed attack surfaces
11	★ (Common)	・Software update must be possible. ・The state of software having been updated must be maintained even after the power is turned off.	Software update function not implemented ・No applicable CWE	[Background of the threat] If a function that permits updating software or firmware upon detection of vulnerabilities in them is not implemented, they could be exposed to attacks taking advantage of their security holes. [Examples] ・Wi-Fi wireless routers, IP cameras and more [Remarks] ・Requirements defined in “Certification of Compliance of Devices with the Relevant Security Standards” ・Requirements defined the “UK Code of Practice for consumer IoT security” 3. Keep software updated 9. Make systems resilient to outages
12	★	1) A contact for	・No applicable	[Background]

	(Common)	information on product vulnerabilities must be available and made public. 2) A product security update support site must be available.	CWE	Security standards in effect in and outside Japan targeting IoT devices define an organizational plan or operational scheme for product providers. [Remarks] Requirements defined in NISTIR 8259 "Foundational Cybersecurity Activities for IoT Device Manufactures" Activity 6: Decide what to communicate to customers and how to communicate it.

